## Sectoring

$$
\operatorname{SIR} \approx \frac{1}{K}(\sqrt{3 N})^{\gamma} \quad C=\frac{A_{\text {toaal }}}{A_{\text {cell }}} \times \frac{S}{N}
$$

- Advantages
- Reduce interference by reducing K
- Increase SIR (better call quality).
- The increase in SIR can be traded with reducing the cluster size (N) which increase the capacity.
- Disadvantages
- Increase number of antennas at each base station.
- Next section: Decrease trunking efficiency due to channel sectoring at the base station.
- The available channels in the cell must be subdivided and dedicated to a specific antenna.

Make sure that you understand where numbers in this table come from!

## Example 3 (3)

|  | Omnidirectional | $120^{\circ}$ Sectoring | $60^{\circ}$ Sectoring |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| K | 6 | 2 | 1 |
| N | 7 | 3 | 3 |
| SIR [dB] | 18.7 | 16.1 | 19.1 |
| \#channels/cell | $\lfloor 400 / 7\rfloor=57$ | $\lfloor 400 / 3\rfloor=133$ | $\lfloor 400 / 3\rfloor=133$ |
| \#sectors | 1 | 3 | 6 |
| \#channels/sector | 57 | $\left\lfloor\frac{400}{3} / 3\right\rfloor=44$ | $\left\lfloor\frac{400}{3} / 6\right\rfloor=22$ |
| A [Erlangs]/sector | 51.55 | 38.56 | 17.13 |
| A [Erlangs]/cell | 51.55 | $38.56 \times 3=115.68$ | $17.13 \times 6=102.78$ |
| \#users/cell | 18558 | 41645 | 37001 |

Assume that each user makes 2 calls/day and $2 \mathrm{~min} /$ call on average $\rightarrow 1 / 360$ Erlangs.
Conclusion: With $\gamma=4$, $\operatorname{SIR} \geq 15 \mathrm{~dB}$, and $\mathrm{Pb} \leq 5 \%$, $120^{\circ}$ sectoring with cluster size $\mathrm{N}=3$ should be used.

## Example 3 (4): Remarks

|  | Omnidirectional | $120^{\circ}$ Sectoring | $60^{\circ}$ Sectoring |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| K | 6 | 2 | 1 |
| N | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| SIR [dB] | 18.7 | 23.43 | 26.44 |
| \#channels/cell | $\lfloor 400 / 7\rfloor=57$ | $\lfloor 400 / 7\rfloor=57$ | $\lfloor 400 / 7]=57$ |
| \#sectors | 1 | 3 | 6 |
| \#channels/sector | 57 | $\left\lfloor\frac{400}{7} / 3\right]=19$ | $\left\lfloor\frac{400}{7} / 6\right\rfloor=9$ |
| A [Erlangs]/sector | 51.55 | 14.31 | 5.37 |
| A [Erlangs]/cell | 51.55 | $14.31 \times 3=42.94$ | $5.37 \times 6=32.22$ |

For the same $N$, we see that $120^{\circ}$ sectoring and $60^{\circ}$ sectoring give much better SIR. However, sectoring reduces the trunking efficiency and therefore suffer reduced value of A .

|  | Omnidirectional | $120^{\circ}$ Sectoring | $60^{\circ}$ Sectoring |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| K | 6 | 2 | 1 |
| N | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| SIR [dB] | 18.7 | 23.43 | 26.44 |
| \#channels/cell | $\lfloor 400 / 7\rfloor=57$ | $\lfloor 400 / 7]=57$ | $\lfloor 400 / 7]=57$ |
| \#sectors | 1 | 3 | 6 |
| \#channels/sector | 57 | $\left\lfloor\frac{400}{7} / 3\right]=19$ | $\left\lfloor\frac{400}{7} / 6\right]=9$ |
| A [Erlangs]/sector | 51.55 | 14.31 | 5.37 |
| A [Erlangs]/cell | 51.55 | $14.31 \times 3=42.94$ | $5.37 \times 6=32.22$ |

Idea: The values of SIR are too high for the cases of $120^{\circ}$ sectoring and $60^{\circ}$ sectoring. We can further reduce the cluster size. This increases the number of channels per cell and hence per sector.

|  | Omnidirectional | $120^{\circ}$ Sectoring | $60^{\circ}$ Sectoring |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| K | 6 | 2 | 1 |
| N | 7 | 3 | 3 |
| SIR [dB] | 18.7 | 16.1 | 19.1 |
| \#channels/cell | $\lfloor 400 / 7]=57$ | $\lfloor 400 / 3]=133$ | $\lfloor 400 / 3]=133$ |
| \#sectors | 1 | 3 | 6 |
| \#channels/sector | 57 | $\left[\frac{400}{3} / 3\right]=44$ | $\left\lfloor\frac{400}{3} / 6\right\rfloor=22$ |
| A [Erlangs]/sector | 51.55 | 38.56 | 17.13 |
| A [Erlangs]/cell | 51.55 | $38.56 \times 3=115.68$ | $17.13 \times 6=102.78$ |

